

RECEIVED
Mail Room

DEC - 7 2015

United States Court of Appeals
District of Columbia CircuitUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

4-10

15-5192

KURT MADSEN

APPELLANT

V.

WILLIAM SMITH

APPELLEE.

MOTION FOR AMENDED/SUPPLEMENTED APPLICATION
TO RECEIVE "GOOD-BEHAVIOUR" UNDER 28 USC 2243
AND TRUE CAUSE CERTIFICATION, OF 2243 "HELD TO AMERICAN"

I, KURT MADSEN, MOTION THE COURT, REQUIRE THE APPELLEE,
REPRESENTED BY TRAITORS OF THE UNITED STATES, TO "MAKE
A RETURN CERTIFYING THE TRUE CAUSE OF
THE DETENTION" AS REQUIRED BY 28 USC 2243

FURTHERMORE, WITHIN THE THREE DAYS, SINCE THE
ADDITIONAL TIME, GIVEN BY JUDGE COLLYER, DID NOT MAKE
A 'TRUE CAUSE' CERTIFICATION IT WAS TRAD UPON THE
COURT. (SEE NOA CERTIFICATIONS BY SOS OF WASHINGTON)

BY A TRAITOR OF THE UNITED STATES, ALTHOUGH STILL A
MEMBER OF THE BAR, SHE HAS STUMBLERD OFF SOMEWHERE,
ACCORDING TO THE LOCAL BAR,

THE POINT HERE IS IN THE ORIGINAL (SECOND) THE
TRAITOR RELIED UPON THE CORRUPT CORPORATION COURT

1682

RECORDS, AS DOCTORED BY GENERAL LEE, OR AKA ~~CHIEF JUDGE~~ SATTENFIELD.

HOWEVER, AS IS SHOWN IN ~~3~~ UNITED STATES V. KURT MADSEN 2015
FUG 1600, THE CORRUPT CORPORATION COURT, OMMITS THE ARREST
BY THE SECRET SERVICE.

FURTHERMORE, THE 'HIT' BY THE SECRET SERVICE WAS FROM
THE NATIONAL CRIME INFORMATION COMPUTER NCIC.

WHICH IS MANAGED BY THE DIRECTOR FOR THE
FEDERAL ~~BUREAU~~ BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION.

UNDER - USC § 532 APPOINTED BY THE US ATTORNEY GENERAL.

THEREFORE ANY 28 USC 2243 TRUE CAUSE CERTIFICATION
SHOULD INCLUDE.

1) ~~ALL~~ NCIC DATABASE DOCUMENTS 2014-2015

2) ALL SECRET SERVICE RECORDS/REPORTS REGARDING
APPELLANT-APPLICANT FROM NOVEMBER 17, 2015.

INCLUDING SEIZURE DOCUMENT OF "PAPERS AND EFFECTS"


3) WASHINGTON STATE REQUISITION REQUESTS 2014-2015
AUGUST 17, 2014 - SEPTEMBER 24, 2014 OMITTED IN OTHER 29 USC 2243.

4) ALL EMAILS OR COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING

WASHINGTON STATE V. KURT MADSEN. CASE 11-1-10408-3

~~OR~~ AND THE NCIC DATABASE ENTRIES FROM 2014-2015

AS IT RELATES TO THAT CASE NUMBER 11-1-10408-3. "WARRANT."

RESPECTFULLY  KURT MADSEN DECEMBER 7, 2015

DEC - 7 2015

United States Court of Appeals
District of ColumbiaUNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR
THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

#15-5192

KURT MADSEN

APPELLANT

v.

WILLIAM SMITH

APPELLEE

AMENDED / SUPPLEMENTED APPLICATION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS
CORPUS - 28 USC 2242 - FOR 28 USC 2241 ENTERTAINMENT UNDER
ARTICLE 1 SECTION 9 CLAUSE 2 AND THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTION,
THE APPELLANT IS CURRENTLY "HELD TO ANSWER"
INCOMMUNICADO^① BY THE APPELLEE AT HE AND HIS DICTATORS
(THOMAS FAUST) FACILITY AT:

D.C. DEPARTMENT OF 'CORRECTIONS'

1901 DSI SE WASHINGTON D.C. 20003

THIS CORRUPTION CAMP IS LOCATED WITHIN THE 10 MILE
SQUARE AREA OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 8 CLAUSE 17 (ALL ARTICLES
AND AMENDMENTS REFERENCE THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED
STATES, 'EXCEPT' AS 'OTHERWISE' INDICATED)

GIVEN THE PROGRESSIVE 'STATE' OF THE ARTICLES LEADING UP
TO CLAUSE 17, THAT IS ARTICLE 1 SECTION 8 CLAUSE 15
① SEE ~~ARTICLE 8 FOR APPELLEES ANSWER TO "FACE-TIME" VIA "SMARTPHONE"~~
NOTICE OF INVOLUNTARY SERVICING

"TO PROVIDE FOR CALLING FORTH THE MILITIA TO EXECUTE THE LAWS OF THE UNION, SUPPRESS INSURRECTIONS AND REPEL INVASIONS;" [IT THEN IMMEDIATELY ADDS] (SEE HURTADO V. CALIFORNIA, 110 US 516 (1884) AS IT INTERPRETS DUE PROCESS IN THE 5TH AMENDMENT AND THE GRAND JURY "REPUBLICAN FORM" OF GOVERNMENT)

"TO PROVIDE FOR ORGANIZING, ARMING, AND DISCIPLINING, THE MILITIA, AND FOR GOVERNING SUCH PART OF THEM AS MAY BE EMPLOYED IN THE SERVICE OF THE UNITED STATES, RESERVING TO THE STATES RESPECTIVELY, THE APPOINTMENT OF THE OFFICERS, AND THE AUTHORITY OF TRAINING THE MILITIA ACCORDING TO THE DISCIPLINE PRESCRIBED BY CONGRESS."

[IT, (BEING THE 'SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND UNDER ARTICLE 6 CLAUSE 2) THEN IMMEDIATELY ADDS]

"TO EXERCISE EXCLUSIVE LEGISLATION IN ALL CASES WHATSOEVER, OVER SUCH DISTRICT (NOT EXCEEDING TEN MILES SQUARE) AS MAY, ... BECOME THE SEAT OF THE GOVERNMENT OF THE UNITED STATES, AND TO EXERCISE LIKE AUTHORITY OVER ALL PLACES ... IN WHICH THE SHALL BE, FOR THE ERECTION OF ^① OTHER NEEDFUL BUILDINGS, ..."

— IT IS UNCLEAR HOW APPELLEE ^① INVADDED THE DISTRICT. —

ON NOVEMBER 17, 2015 APPELLANT WAS ARRESTED BY OFFICER CROZIER BADGE NO 1565 OF THE UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE ON THE GROUNDS OF THE CANADIAN EMBASSY, AFTER ANOTHER SS. OFFICER DEMANDED TO SEE APPELLANTS I.D. WITHOUT ANY PROBABLE CAUSE.

HOWEVER, APPELLANT, HAPPILY PROVIDED THIS 'INFORMATION' TO ^① AND HIS CO-CONSPIRATORS - INVADERS - TRAITORS - REBELS. CORRUPT ORGANIZATION,

THE SS OFFICER, WHO THEN RADIOED APPELLANT'S NAME TO AN UNKNOWN DISPATCH CENTER, WHERE A CLAIM WAS MADE ON THE NCIC THAT APPELLANT WAS A 'WANTED' FUGITIVE FOR ESCAPE.

THE APPELLANT DEMANDED SECRET SERVICE SS OFFICER COZIER AND SS SGT. WELLS SHOW THE APPELLANT THE 'WARRANT'

MOREOVER, APPELLANT DEMANDED HE NOT BE TAKEN TO, OR TRANSFERRED INTO THE CUSTODY OF THE CORPORATION FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.

FURTHERMORE, APPELLANT HAD COPIES OF THE 'WARRANT' WHICH WERE PROVIDED BY THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS CLERK ON NOVEMBER 13, 16, 2015.⁽¹⁾

NOT ONLY CONTAINED IN THE NOTICE OF APPEAL, BUT WITHIN SEVERAL PLEADINGS FROM SEPTEMBER 29, 2015 THROUGH NOVEMBER 3, 2015 WHILE APPELLANT WAS A PARTY TO INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE AT SCORE SOUTH CORRUPTION ENTITY.

AT ANY RATE, THE SECRET SS REFUSED TO EVEN SPEAK TO APPELLANT, EVENTHOUGH SS OFFICER COZIER HAD APPELLANT SIGN A 'WAIVER' OF "NO PERSON... SHALL BE COMPELLED IN ANY CRIMINAL CASE TO BE A WITNESS AGAINST HIMSELF" AS PROVIDED UNDER THE 5TH AMENDMENT.

ON THE FORM THE ~~THE~~ APPELLANT-APPLICANT INDICATED HE WAS BEING VICTIMIZED IN VIOLATION OF THE TRAFFICKING VICTIMS PROTECTION ACT OF 2000.

3 of 18

(1) ALL 'WARRANTS' FOR 11-1-10408-3 ARE CARBON COPIES, ACCUSATIONS CHANGE, SEE 13 ACCUSATIONS IN NOA AND THOSE APPEAL OR ON SEPTEMBER 29, 2015 FILE.

FURTHERMORE, THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT GAVE REPEATED WARNINGS TO BOTH SS OFFICER COZIER AND SS. SGT. WELLS THAT THE NCIC ENTRY DID NOT COMPLY AND WAS CONTRARY TO THE 4TH AMENDMENT WHICH WAS NOW A DEPRIVATION OF LIBERTY WITHOUT DUE PROCESS OF LAW IN VIOLATION OF THE 5TH AMENDMENT,

NEITHER CARED.

IN-FACT SS OFFICER COZIER WENT AND REMOVED MY GLASSES FROM MY FACE. CLAIMING I COULD NOT WEAR THEM WHILE UNDER ARREST^① WHICH IS PARA MATERIA TO BEING "HELD TO ANSWER"!

AFTER SEIZURE OF MY PERSON, WITHOUT PROBABLE CAUSE, THEN BASED ONLY UPON AN NCIC "HIT", THE TWO SS OFFICERS THEN SEIZED ALL OF MY "PAPERS", "AND EFFECTS". LEAVING THEM IN THE CUSTODY OF THE CORPORATION CALLED "METROPOLITAN POLICE DEPARTMENT" AND STATION CLERK BAPLE NO. 3598. (SEE ^{NOTICE OF ISS.} ~~APPENDIX A~~)

SS OFFICER COZIER AND SS. SGT. WELLS, ~~THEN~~ WITHOUT CONFIRMING OR PHYSICALLY SHOWING ME THE 'WARRANT' THEN TRANSFERRED ME, OVER MY OBJECTIONS, TO THE CENTRAL HOLDING FACILITY, OPERATED BY APPELLANT, (ALL ^{CAPITOL} CAPTURED PEOPLE ARE TAKEN HERE)

ON THE SAME DAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2015 THE UNITED STATES CHARGED ME AND ACCUSED ME OF AN "OTHERWISE INFAMOUS CRIME" IN THE CASE OF UNITED STATES V. KURT MADSEN, 2015 FUG 16000. CLAIMING THAT THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT IS A FUGITIVE FROM JUSTICE, UNDER THE CASE OF WASHINGTON STATE V. KURT MADSEN 11-1-10408-3.

① WHO IS TRAINING THE S.S.? THE THIRD PRISON?

4 OF 18

THESE ACCUSATIONS ARE THE THIRD TIME FOR THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AT 555 4TH AVE NW, ARE THEY THE THIRD REECH?

MOREOVER, IT IS THE THIRD TIME A MEMBER OF THE BAR, EXCEPT THE DRINKING ONE, STARBUCKS INCLUDED, OR [EXCLUDED] HAS RECEIVED FUNDS UNDER THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE ACT OVER THE OBJECTIONS OF THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT. DEFRADING THE UNITED STATES (18 USC 371 VIOLATION)

IT IS ABSURD THE CORPORATION OF CORRUPTION COURT - CALL IT SUPERIOR COURT IF YOU LIKE - IT'S CORRUPT ALL THE SAME - WILL GIVE ARTICLE 4 SECTION 1 "FULL FAITH AND CREDIT" TO A FRAUDULANTLY ENTERED NCIC 'WARRANT' THAT GENERAL LEE - OH CALL HIM "CHIEF JUDGE SATTERFIELD" IF YOU MUST - KNOWS DOES NOT COMPLY TO THE 4TH AMENDMENT^{5TH OR 13TH AMENDMENTS} OR THE POSITIVE LAW (18 USC 3182) REQUIREMENTS. (SEE NOA AND RULE 46 DKT 22 OBJECTIONS)

GENERAL LEE AND THE NCIC 'WARRANT' IS TREASON A "JURIST OF REASON" IN ANY BAR WOULD UNDERSTAND, UNLESS YOU'RE A TRAITOR OR HAD A FEW TOO MANY.

THE POINT HERE IS THE GENERAL LEE CORPORATION OF CORRUPTION COURT FAIL TO GIVE ARTICLE 4 SECTION 1 "FULL FAITH AND CREDIT" TO THE JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS OF EVERY OTHER STATE AS IT RELATES TO THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT PROCEEDING PROSE AT THE TRIAL AND ON APPEAL AS DETERMINED BY THE WASHINGTON STATE SUPREME COURT IN WASHINGTON STATE V. KURT MADSEN, MARCH 25 (2010)

DEFRADING THE APPLICANT OF A REGAT AND SCORES OF \$, →
ALTHOUGH APPLICANT-APPELLANT HAS FILED A NOTICE OF

REMOVAL UNDER 28 USC 1455, FOR THE "FUGITIVE FROM JUSTICE" ACCUSATIONS, THE FACT REMAINS THE UNITED STATES IS A PARTY, IN UNITED STATES V. KURT MADSEN ~~FEB~~ 2015 FILE 16000 THEREFORE THE CORRUPT CORPORATION COURT LACKS JURISDICTION! UNDER "LIVE BY THE SWORD DIE BY THE SWORD" "SAY WHAT THE LAW IS" CIRC 1803 ARTICLE 3 "THE JUDICIAL POWER SHALL EXTEND TO ALL CASES, IN LAW AND EQUITY, ARISING UNDER THIS CONSTITUTION, THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES ... TO CONTROVERSIES TO WHICH THE UNITED STATES SHALL BE A PARTY"

SINCE THE ACCUSATIONS ARE UNDER THE SAME WASHINGTON STATE V. KURT MADSEN 11-1-10408-3 CAUSE NUMBER, AND THE POSITIVE LAW 28 USC 2242 ALLOWS FOR AMENDMENT OR SUPPLEMENT AND THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT HAS A PENDING APPEAL REGARDING THE SUSPENDED ARTICLE 1 SECTION 9 CLAUSE 2 PRIVILEGE, ALONG WITH OTHER MOTIONS IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE UNITED STATES, THIS AMENDED/SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION IS MADE TO THE CHIEF JUDGE OF THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS, FOR THOSE REASONS.

HOWEVER, MOST IMPORTANTLY THIS ~~AMEND~~ APPLICATION IS NOT MADE TO THE DISTRICT COURT, BECAUSE IN ADDITION TO THE SUSPENSION OF THE ORIGINAL, BY AN UNKNOWN PARTY VIOLATING ARTICLE 1 SECTION 9 CLAUSE 2 AND NOT DOCKETING THE ORIGINAL UNTIL AFTER APPLICANT WAS KIDNAPPED AND TAKEN BACK INTO INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE, AS DEFINED BY CONGRESS UNDER

THE TRAFFICKING VICTIMS PROTECTION ACT OF 2000 - TVPA OF 2000

THE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE COLLYER ACTUALLY VIOLATED 28 USC 2243, EXTENDING THE THREE DAYS TO TWENTY OR A SCORE WITHOUT ANY CAUSE, THEN EXTENDING TIME AGAIN, BEYOND THE SCORE DAYS.

FURTHERMORE, THE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE COLLYER HAS "ABUSED THE LEGAL PROCESS" BY ALLOWING AN "ABUSE OF LEGAL PROCESS" BY TREATING THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT AS IF HE WAS MERELY A "RUNAWAY SLAVE" UNDER ARTICLE 4 SECTION 1 CLAUSE 3 GIVING "FULL FAITH AND CREDIT" TO THE ENEMY WITHIN AND THE POST-13TH AMENDMENT OPPRESSION OF THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES, THE TVPA WAS ENACTED TO PROTECT PEOPLE!!!

THE DISTRICT COURT JUDGE COLLYER FURTHER FACILITATED THE ACTIVITIES OF A CORRUPT ORGANIZATION AND ALLOWED THE VICTIMIZATION OF THE APPELLANT-APPELLANT TO CONTINUE UN-CHECKED, AS DOES GENERAL LEE.

INSTEAD OF INTERPRETING THE 'SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND' TOGETHER WITH 1 USC 204 POSITIVE LAW, JUDGE COLLYER RELIED UPON THE 'RULE OF FLAW' OR "CASE LAW" AND NOT THE FACTS AND LAW ASSOCIATED WITH THE APPELLANT-APPLICANTS PRESENT, KENYAN-
PING AND STATE OF INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE!!! EVEN IN [DKT 12]

THE AUSA AT 555 HAVE N.W. PROVIDED MISLEADING 'INFORMATION' IN THE INITIAL RESPONSE, AS INDICATED IN OTHER PLEADINGS.

TO BE CURT, SOME 'INFORMATION' SHOULD BE PROVIDED, TO A
① ALSO VIOLATING 18 USC 1592 BY CONCEALING DOCUMENTS FROM USA STATE.

GRAND JURY, UNDER 18 USC 241 AND 242 AND POSITIVE LAW PROTECTIONS AGAINST INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE BY ABUSE OF LEGAL PROCESS, AS PROVIDED UNDER THE TVIA OF 2000, AND LAWS AGAINST KIDNAPPING.

JUDGE COLLYER NOR THE MEMBERS OF THE BAR AT 555 4TH AVE N.W. ARE IMMUNE FROM CRIMINAL PROSECUTION, NOR IS ANY CORRUPT CORPORATION 'OFFICER' OR ONE OF THE ENEMY WEATHERS. ①

THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT IS ENTITLED TO ALL THE PRIVILEGES AND IMMUNITIES OF CITIZENS IN THE SEVERAL STATES" AS EMBODIED IN ARTICLE 4 SECTION 1

THATS ALL SO INCLUDING THE DECLARATION OF THE 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

IMMUNITY
AN ENTITLEMENT WAS EMBODIED IN THE 5TH AMENDMENT

"NO PERSON SHALL BE HELD TO ANSWER FOR A CAPITAL,"
(IMMUNITY) (IMMUNITY) (IMMUNITY) (HIGH-UPPERCASE)

OR OTHERWISE INFAMOUS CRIME, UNLESS ON A
(LOWER CASE) (JAILED) (IMMUNITY)

PRESENTMENT OR INDICTMENT OF A GRAND JURY,
(IMMUNITY) (IMMUNITY) (= PROTECTION =)

EXCEPT IN CASES ARISING IN THE LAND OR NAVAL FORCES, OR IN THE MALITIA, WHEN IN ACTUAL SERVICE IN TIME OF WAR OR PUBLIC DANGER,"

① OR GENERAL LEE !!!

[IT THEN IMMEDIATELY ADDS]

"NOR SHALL ANY PERSON BE SUBJECT FOR THE SAME OFFENCE BE
TWICE PUT IN JEOPARDY OF LIFE OR LIMB;"

AS INDICATED THIS IS THE THIRD 11-1-1860-3 ACCUSATION
UNDER ARTICLE 4 SECTION 1

"CONGRESS MAY BY GENERAL LAWS PRESCRIBE THE MANNER
IN WHICH SUCH ACTS, RECORDS AND PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE PROVED
AND THE EFFECT THEREOF."

IT IS NO WONDER WHY SECRETARY OF STATE JOHN MARSHAL IS
GIVEN SUCH A PRAISE OF NOBILITY. =

THE STATUE IN JOHN MARSHAL PARK, WHICH SEPERATES THE
CANADIAN EMBASSY FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT AND COURT
OF APPEALS, IS IDENTICAL TO THE ONE AT OR IN THE "ONE
SUPREME COURT" BUILDING WHICH BROKE GROUND DECEMBER 20,
1929.

HOWEVER, THE STATUTES ENACTED AFTER DECEMBER 20, 1860
AND THE AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED
STATES AFTER THAT DATE SOUTH CAROLINA SUCCEEDED ARE OF
MORE IMPORTANTS TO THIS ARTICLE 1 SECTION 9 CLAUSE 2
PRIVILEGE THAN THE IMPUNITY ENACTED THROUGH
THE ARTICLE 3 PRETENDED LEGISLATION, ENABLED THROUGH
THE TREASON OF A "TREASONABLE JUREST"!

IT IS RATHER INTERESTING THAT ~~THE~~ ~~THE~~ ROBERT F. KENNEDY
STARTED HIS INVESTIGATION OF THE ENEMY WITHIN ON

DECEMBER 20, ACCORDING TO PAGE ONE OF HIS BOOK "THE ENEMY WITHIN"

HOWEVER, THE ENEMY WITHIN ALL CREATED OR INVESTIGATED ON DECEMBER 20, ARE ALL ONE IN THE SAME. ^{1776 1860-65 1963-1968 TODAY} THE OPOSITION OF "WE THE PEOPLE..." AS THE INCEPTION OF JANUARY 20, 1801 FOR THE "ONE CHIEF JUDGE" WHO FUCKED ^① GENERATIONS OF PEOPLE, WITHIN THE UNION OF THE UNITED STATES, BLACK, NATIVE OR OTHERWISE. ^{WE PAY!}

I FIND IT RATHER ABSURD THAT THE INAUGURATION OF THE ARTICLE 2 CHIEF EXECUTIVE POWER WHICH APPOINTED THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT HEAD ON JANUARY 20, 1801 WAS LATER ALSO SWITCHED FROM MARCH FOURTH TO JANUARY 20.

AT ANY RATE, THE APPELLANT-APPELANT HAS MADE HIS POINT REGARDING THE "RULE OF FLAW" IN OTHER PLEADINGS.

ALTHOUGH THE CURRENT PUPPET FOR THE ENEMY WITHIN OR AS KNOWN TO THE GENERAL LEE AND THE GENERAL POPULATION, THE PRESIDENT WAITED JUST ONE DAY, TO TAKE HIS SECOND TERM ARTICLE 2 ^{SECTION 1} CLAUSE 8 OATH.

"I DO SOLENNY SWEAR— THAT I WILL FAITHFULLY EXECUTE THE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE UNITED STATES, AND WILL TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY, PRESERVE, PROTECT AND DEFEND THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES"

① THE ORIGINAL SNAFU!

THE PRESIDENTIAL PUPPET HAS DONE NOTHING TO PROTECT THE PEOPLE OF THE 10 MILE SQUARE AREA AGAINST GENERAL LEE AND HIS CORRUPT CORPORATIONS COURT^① (C3)

WHEREBY C3 SENDS PEOPLE TO BE "HELD TO ANSWER" FOR ~~CRIMES COMMITTED~~ ACCUSATIONS OF "OTHERWISE INFAMOUS CRIMES" WITHOUT THE PROTECTIONS OF THE 5TH AMENDMENT, TO THE APPELLATES' ~~NEEDED~~ BUILDING!!!

FURTHERMORE, THE UNITED STATES IS A PARTY, THEREFORE JURISDICTION IS UNDER AN INTERIOR UNITED STATES COURT AS ARTICLE 3 SECTION 2 CLAUSE 1 ESTABLISHES AND ARTICLE 1 SECTION 8 CLAUSE 17 DELECTS CONGRESS.

MOREOVER, THE PRESIDENTIAL PUPPET ALSO ALLOWS GENERAL LEE TO VIOLATE THE TRAFFICKING VICTIMS PROTECTION ACT OF 2000, (AS DOES THE "CORPORATION" AND ENEMY WITHIN.) WHICH WAS PASSED BY THE ARTICLE 1 SECTION 1 LEGISLATIVE POWER TO ENFORCE THE 13TH AMENDMENT, SECTION 1

NEITHER SLAVERY NOR INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE, EXCEPT AS PUNISHMENT FOR CRIME WHEREOF THE PARTY SHALL HAVE BEEN DULY CONVICTED, SHALL EXIST WITHIN THE UNITED STATES, OR ANY PLACE SUBJECT TO THEIR JURISDICTION"

SECTION 2 CREATED 1 USC 204 POSITIVE LAW

LEGISLATION UNDER TITLE 18 WHICH THE TVPA
① OR THE CORRUPT ORGANIZATION "CORPORATION" FOR K STREET?!! NOT FOR PEOPLE!!!

DEFINED "INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE" OVERRULING THE
"ONE SUPREME COURT"

IGNORANCE OF THE ARTICLE 6 CLAUSE 2 "SUPREMACY
LAW OF THE LAND" OR THE ~~ARTICLE~~ POSITIVE LAW
IS NO EXCUSE. MR. PRESIDENT, PUPPET WHO SHOOK THE
HAND OF THE ENEMY WITHIN PRESIDENT, AND REMOVED OVERSIGHT!!
THE UNITED STATES SECRET SERVICE RETURNED THE
APPELLANT-APPLICANT TO A "CONDITION OF PEONAGE"
VIOLATING 18 USC 1581

THE CORRUPT CORPORATION COURT AND APPELLEE ARE
VIOLATING 18 USC 1584 BY "KNOWINGLY AND WILLFULLY"
HOLDING APPELLANT-APPLICANT TO "INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE"

THE APPELLEE AND HIS "OFFICERS" OF THE CORRUPT
CORPORATION TRANSFER THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT FROM
ONE "PLACE TO ANOTHER" VIOLATING 18 USC 1586

ON SEPTEMBER 29, 2014 AND FOR THE NEXT 13 MONTHS
APPELLEE ALLOWED HIS COMPRADES FROM THE ENEMY
WITHIN TO KIDNAP THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT WHILE
APPLICANT WAS IN APPELLEE'S CUSTODY IN VIOLATION
OF 18 USC 1201 - 18 USC 2422/2 AND THE TVPA POSITIVE LAWS,

THE APPLICANT WAS KIDNAPED BY A FOREIGN POWER
THROUGH AN UNCONSTITUTIONAL ALLIANCE

BETWEEN WASHINGTON STATE AND THE ENEMY WITHIN, WHICH ARTICLE 1 SECTION 10 CLAUSE 1 AND 3 WAS INTENDED TO PREVENT, AS WAS THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD ACT.

ON NOVEMBER 12, 2015 VETERANS DAY, THE APPLICANT ESCAPED FROM THE INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE IN WASHINGTON STATE, BACK TO THE ARTICLE 1 SECTION 8 CLAUSE 17 PROTECTION

THE DIRECTOR OF THE FBI ALLOWS THE ENEMY WITHIN TO INFILTRATE THE INTEGRITY OF THE NATIONAL CRIME INFORMATION COMPUTER NCIC.

BY ALLOWING 'WARRANTS' WHICH ARE CONTRARY TO THE 4TH AMENDMENT TO BE ENTERED BY AN ENEMY INSURGENT WHO HAS NOT DULY TAKEN NOR SUPPORTS THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES UNDER ARTICLE 6 CLAUSE 2 OR 4 USC 161.

THE APPLICANT IS NOW BACK IN A FORM OF INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE AS DEFINED IN THE TVPA OF 2000 AND 18 USC 1589.

IN FACT APPLICANT WAS BORN INTO A STATE OF INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE, HOWEVER, THE CIVIL REMEDY IS LIMITED TO 10 YEARS UNDER 18 USC 1595.

THIS IS ~~THE~~ A LIBERTY REMEDY, AS WAS AND ARE ALL — — — — —

HOWEVER, A PEACEFUL REMEDY OR SO INTENDED,

UNDER 18 USC 1589, WHICH CAN BE USED AND CITED AS
PREMA FACIE EVIDENCE. UNDER 1 USC 204.

(a) WHOEVER KNOWINGLY PROVIDES OR OBTAINS THE LABOR
OR SERVICES OF A PERSON BY ANY ONE OF, OR BY ANY
COMBINATION OF, THE FOLLOWING MEANS: - ①

"(3) BY MEANS OF THE ABUSE OR THREATENED ABUSE OF
LAW OR LEGAL PROCESS."

THE COURT HAS RECEIVED EVIDENCE OF THE ABUSE
OF LAW OR LAWLESSNESS OF A LEGAL PROCESS WHILE
APPLICANT WAS IN THE CUSTODY OF SCORE SOUTH
CORRUPTION ENTITY, AND IN NOA, NOW SUPPLEMENTED
UNDER 18 USC 1589 (C) (1)

"THE TERM "ABUSE OR THREATENED ABUSE OF LAW
OR LEGAL PROCESS" MEANS THE USE OR THREATENED
USE OF A LAW OR LEGAL PROCESS, WHETHER ADMIN-
ISTRATIVE, CIVIL, OR CRIMINAL, IN ANY MANNER
OR FOR ANY PURPOSE FOR WHICH THE LAW WAS
NOT DESIGNED, IN ORDER TO EXERT PRESSURE ON
ANOTHER PERSON TO CAUSE THAT PERSON TO TAKE SOME
ACTION OR REFRAIN FROM TAKING SOME ACTION."

① NOTE: MY BODY PROVIDES "SERVICES" IE. "PUBLIC FUNDS"... A JOB FOR
PEOPLE FROM KENYA AND THE "PRESENTS" HOMELAND WHILE 140FTB
VICTIMIZING AFRICAN-AMERICANS - AND OTHERS - ALL OTHERS.

THE "PURPOSE FOR WHICH THE LAW" [WAS] "DESIGNED" AS IT RELATES TO THE APPLICANT UNDER THE CONTEXT OF THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND IN ITS ENTIRETY IS TO PROTECT HIS LIBERTY FROM OPPRESSION BY THE UNITED STATES OR OF THE SEVERAL STATE GOVERNMENTS.

THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES NOR THE LAWS OF THE SEVERAL STATES UNDER ARTICLE 4 OR ARTICLE 6 CLAUSE 2 OR THOSE "RETAINED BY THE PEOPLE", MOREOVER, THE 13TH AMENDMENT OR DUE PROCESS OF THE 5TH AMENDMENT EITHER VIOLATE THE 10 MILE SQUARE AREA OF ARTICLE 1 SECTION 8 CLAUSE 17.

TAXATION WITHOUT REPRESENTATION? WHAT THE HELL!

THE ENTIRE CONGRESS AND EVERY HEAD OF THE EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT UNDER ARTICLE 2 INCLUDING THOSE IN THE ARTICLE 3 "JUDICIAL POWER" SHOULD REPRESENT THE PEOPLE OF THE ARTICLE 1 SECTION 8 CLAUSE 17 AREA WHILE THOSE PEOPLE ARE OFFICERS OF PUBLIC TRUST UNDER ARTICLE 6 CLAUSE 3 AND "RESIDE" IN THE DISTRICT.

THE POINT HERE IS THE LAWS WITHIN THE JURISDICTION OF THE UNION OF THE UNITED STATES ARE NOT DESIGNED TO ALLOW THE ENEMY WITHIN

OR ANY SCORE SOUTH ENTITY, INCLUDING GENERAL LEE AND HIS CORRUPT CORPORATION COURT AND THE ~~APPELLER~~ ~~APPELLER~~ TO RECEIVE "PUBLIC FUNDS" BY HOLDING PERSONS TO ANSWER FOR ACCUSATIONS OF CRIMES IN THE CAPITOL THAT ARE OTHERWISE INFAMOUS. CORPORATIONS CAN NOT CAPITALIZE FROM HOLDING PEOPLE IN CAPTIVITY!!! EXCEPT IF "WE THE PEOPLE" EMBODIED AS A GRAND JURY, OR A "REASONABLE JURIST" MAKE A TRUE BILL INDICTMENT OR PRESENTMENT BASED UPON "INFORMATION"

UNLESS A GRAND JURY ISSUES A TRUE BILL ANYTHING LESS IS A BILL OF ATTAINDER. AND VIOLATES THE TRAFFICKING VICTIMS PROTECTION ACT OF 2000, ABUSE OF DUE PROCESS OF THE SUPREME LAW OF THE LAND!!!! V IN THIS INSTANT CASE GENERAL LEE REFUSED TO ISSUE A DISCHARGE ON AUGUST 27, 2014 AS 18 USC 3182 PERMITS.

HOWEVER, THE REQUISITION REQUEST BEING THE "PURPORTED GOVERNMENT IDENTIFICATION DOCUMENT" AS IT RELATES TO A ARTICLE 4 OR 18 USC 3182 WAS RECEIVED BY BOTH THE CORRUPT CORPORATION COURT GENERAL LEE, AND THE AUSA ROBERT LITTLE.

NOT ONCE, BUT TWICE AS THE NOA CONFIRMS AS DOES DKT 22 AND THE RULE 46 OBJECTIONS. ONLY IF DULY CONVICTED, THE GENERAL LEE CAN "GET BUSY" ALONG WITH THE TRAITORS AND CORRUPT CORPORATION AND ENEMY WITHIN "OFFICERS" 16 OF 18

HOWEVER, GENERAL LEE AND THE TRAITORS AT 555
LYME AVE NW, IN FURTHERANCE OF RECO VIOLATIONS
AND THE INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE ASSOCIATED WITH
THEIR ABUSE OF LEGAL PROCESS, AND THE TVPA

KNOWINGLY DESTROYED AN APPLICATION
FOR WRIT OF HABEAS (SEE MOA) CONCEALED AND REMOVED
IT FROM THE CORRUPT CORPORATION COURT RECORD
ALONG WITH THE "GOVERNMENT IDENTIFICATION
DOCUMENT" FOR THE PURPOSE OF A 18 USC 3182
THENCE BY VIOLATING 18 USC 1592(a)(1)(2)(3)
IN THE COURSE OF VIOLATING 1581, 1589 WITH INTENT
TO VIOLATE 1581, 1589.

THE CORRUPT CORPORATION AND TRAITORS AT 555
LYME AVE NW KNOW THEY ARE "WITHOUT LAWFUL
AUTHORITY" AND AGAIN AS IN 2014 ARE VIOLATING
18 USC 1592 (3)

"TO PREVENT... WITHOUT LAWFUL AUTHORITY," MY
ABILITY "LIBERTY TO MOVE OR TRAVEL IN ORDER
TO MAINTAIN THE SERVICES OF MY INVOLUNTARY
SERVITUDE THROUGH THEIR ABUSE OF LEGAL
PROCESS, AND THE ILL GOTTEN GAINS FROM THE CJA,
THEREBY VIOLATING MY LIBERTY INTERESTS
BY DEPRIVATION OF MY LIBERTY WITHOUT
DUE PROCESS OF LAW.

17 OF 18

CONCLUSION


THE APPELLANT-APPLICANT IS SUBJECTED TO 'SERIOUS HARM'^① AT THE HANDS OF THE APPELLEE IN HIS VIOLATIONS OF THE TRAFFICKING VICTIMS PROTECTION ACT AND HIS CO-CONSPIRATORS AT THE 555 4TH AVE AND THE CORRUPT CORPORATION COURT, THEY HAVE MISUSED THE ABUSE OF LEGAL PROCESS WITHIN THE DISTRICT.

THE COURT SHOULD EXPEDITE THE REQUEST FOR "CERTIFYING THE TRUE CAUSE OF ~~THE~~ THE DETENTION" AS MOTIONED FOR UNDER 28 USC 2243.

LASTLY, THE APPELLEE IS NOT THE PRESIDENT UNDER 18 USC 3192, AND KIDNAPPING^② AND INVOLUNTARY SERVITUDE ARE ACTS OF "LAWLESS VIOLENCE" WHICH APPELLANT-APPLICANT ~~WAS~~ WAS AND AGAIN IS THE VICTIM OF UNDER THE TVPA.

I KURT MADSEN CERTIFY THE FACTS CONTAINED HEREIN ARE TRUE AND CORRECT UNDER THE PENALTY OF PERJURY UNDER THE LAWS OF THE UNITED STATES.

DECEMBER 2, 2015


KURT MADSEN

① AS DEFINED IN 18 USC 1589(c)(2) 1901 D ST. SE

② AS DEFINED IN 18 USC 1201

WASHINGTON D.C. 20003